Artifact Paper: David Foster Wallace’s *Consider the Lobster*

David Foster Wallace’s fiction has received a great deal of scholarly attention in the last few decades. A search for journal articles dealing with his novel *Infinite Jest* yields a long reading list. Wallace’s nonfiction has not been ignored: In a recent piece for the *New York Times Magazine*, Maud Newton draws a line from Wallace’s hyperconscious self-presentation in his essays to broad rhetorical trends in online writing. In the scholarly realm, however, Wallace’s nonfiction has been subordinated to his fiction. Scholars draw on Wallace’s nonfiction, but often for the sole purpose of better understanding his fiction. Though there are certainly connections between the two, Wallace’s nonfiction is crafted too carefully to be a mere stepping-stone.

For my primary artifact, then, I have chosen Wallace’s *Consider the Lobster and Other Essays*. The collection comprises works about literature, the porn industry, rhetoric and style, political discourse, September 11th, and tennis, as well as the titular essay on the Maine Lobster Festival. I am interested in the book in the context of this class for three primary reasons. In all three cases, the analogues Wallace implicitly or explicitly suggests, complicates, or rejects between the “human” and “nonhuman” are central to my reading and investigation.

First, there is Wallace’s focus on the operations of human social systems, particularly political and communal systems. Even those pieces not overtly focused on political topics address the ways in which social relationships function, and particularly the ways machines with screens can alter and mediate relationships among neighbors, fan communities, and so on.
Wallace also addresses sexual relationships, particularly male-female sexual relationships. I am interested in this topic in light of arguments sometimes made as explanations for—if not defenses of—rape based on the notion that sexual satisfaction is a natural biological urge in human males. Wallace addresses the violence and justifications that can accompany various sex acts in his piece on the porn industry, though this will be a spot where I will also draw on his fiction. In *Brief Interviews with Hideous Men*, a number of Wallace’s “hideous men” simultaneously reference, make, and retreat from problematic arguments about women, human relationships, and sex. I am interested in considering what objections to many of these arguments are possible by looking at what is consider “normal” and “deviant” human sexual behavior alongside consideration of various other species and evolutionary biology.

Finally, I will be looking at how Wallace depicts “animals” themselves, particularly in the essay “Consider the Lobster.” In it, he applies a via negativa approach to the ethics of the Maine Lobster Fest. Rather than asserting the wrongness of the festival using positivistic arguments about what the lobster that is caught, killed, cooked, and eaten (presumably in that order) definitely experiences, Wallace works to raise doubts in his readers’ minds about what they might take for granted when seeing a lobster as food. I find this rhetorical approach keenly persuasive, and plan to take a closer look at what it offers as our class develops.

In all of this, I have in mind a term that has become a Wallace watchword in recent years: compassion. Compassion is an imperative Wallace argued for quite directly in much of his work, and all of his comments on humans, politics, sexual relationships, and lobsters touch on the importance of compassion as a value in one way or another—something that strikes me as a relevant foundation for the sort of inquiry we and I will be undertaking in the course.

---

1 *Dilbert* creator Scott Adams composed a notorious example earlier this year.
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